

Fuel Effects Test Program **EPAct Light Duty Exhaust**

Cost and timing feedback from SwRI

Program Costs

TABLE 6. BUDGETARY COST ESTIMATE FOR OPTIONS

Ex. 4 - CBI

Option A: 17 fuels, 19 vehicles

(solo EPA effort)

Option B: 23 fuels, 19 vehicles

(with DOE contribution)

Option C: Random fuels, repeat tests are back-to-back

Option D: Every test is fully random (fuel and vehicle)

of the program which will greatly improve the data quality. qualitative results only. We're working to develop equipment for this part "Optional non-VOC" work includes PM speciation and other unregulated measurements using SwRI proposed methods that we feel may result in

Project Timing

- Testing estimated to begin in late March 2008
- Most of that time is waiting for fuels (need to decide ASAP which option to select)
- Time also required for test cell upgrades (for 50°F tests) and fuel drum storage capacity
- Ability to provide data for GHG rule (if starting 4/1/08):
- SwRI can test 6 vehicles at a time, which means 27 tests/week
- At this rate (plus 30% margin of safety):
- Option A: Block of 6 vehicles and 20 fuels = 13 weeks (e.g. 7/1/08)
- Option B: Block of 6 vehicles and 26 fuels = 16 weeks (e.g. 7/2/08)

Take Away Points

- We need to pick fuels (Option A vs. B) ASAP to meet
- March 08 start date SwRI cost estimates are less than our internal estimates, making this larger program without DOE commitment? the larger (Option B) within our reach! Maybe we should run with
- are tested early in program use since this effects the order in which fuels/vehicles Need to determine what data is important for GHG rule
- end of the program (or separate out entirely) collection methods. It is questionable that this will be We feel their "non-VOC" PM speciation proposal is inadequate and are in the process of designing our own ready by March 08 and would be better to push to the